

**Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 979 Case file # 95-295916.**

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CAM 32 A

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

File #: 90-110329

Laboratory #(s): 01126043

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not available, guilty plea

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: ~~0110329~~ ^{See} 01126043 Date: June 20, 1991

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 01126043

Page 1 of 3

Initials: SRM

CPM GDB

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated Nov. 13, 1990

Results of Review

File #: 90-110329

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q3, K1-K3

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SWA

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
For CDRU # 979 Case file # 95-295916.

Material Examiner: Malone (RO)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CRM 603

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 9:45 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

File #: 90-110329

Laboratory #(s): 01126043

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed		Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: Not available, guilty plea

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: ~~0110329~~ ^{Sum} 01126043 Date: June 20, 1991

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Laboratory Number: _____ Date: _____

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unknown technician

Laboratory Number: 01126043

Page 1 of 3

Initials: Sum

CRIM 685 #

Was any other material reviewed? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: Submitting agency letter dated Nov. 13, 1990

Results of Review

File #: 90-110329

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q3, K1-K3

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

☒ Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SMA

Comments
(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-280480

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated, are in pencil instead of ink and use abbreviations difficult to interpret. There is a "Confirmation" sheet, signed by but not dated. This "Confirmation" sheet does not document which hair were examined or the results of the confirmation examination.

b6
b7C

Review completed at: 10:15 AM (Time), 10/23/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.50 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.


(Signature)

Oct. 22, 2002
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: SRM